



*Impact of IPv6 Site-Local
Addressing on Applications*

Margaret Wasserman

Wind River

mrw@windriver.com

What is Site-Local

- ★ IPv6 introduces scoped unicast addressing
 - Link-local, site-local and global
- ★ Site-local addresses are constrained to a single administrative site (not well-defined)
- ★ Packets to/from site-local addresses are dropped at site borders

Properties of Site-Local Addresses

- ★ IPv6 site-local addresses are:
 - Ambiguous: The same site-local addresses may be used in more than one site
 - Disambiguated within a site-border node by a zone ID
 - Unreachable from outside the site
 - Traffic may be dropped, or reach an unintended end node
- ★ These properties impose requirements and restrictions on applications

Site-Local vs. NAT

- * Site-local addresses are dropped, not translated, at site borders
 - * Lack of NAT ALGs places burden on upper layers not to leak site-local addresses
- * Site-local does not offer the “security” of one-way connectivity
 - * Hosts will need separate global addresses for global communication
 - * Hosts with global addresses will be reachable from the outside, unless firewalls or filters are used

Applications Impact of Site-Local

- ★ Three categories of application impact
 - Apps that do not exchange IP addresses in application layer packets
 - Two-party apps that exchange IP addresses
 - Multi-party apps that exchange IP addresses
- ★ Need to refine categories and give real-world examples of each type of application

Application Impact of Site-Local

- ★ For apps that do not exchange IP addresses
 - ★ User interface needs to allow entry of zone ID
 - ★ Applications may need to express preference regarding address scope
 - Especially important if access control is based on a site-local source address
- Need to associate a zone ID with any non-global addresses received (via DNS, API calls, etc.)
 - Used to disambiguate overlapping address spaces on send

Application Impact of Site-Local

- ★ For two-party apps that exchange IP addresses
 - ★ Apps need to avoid leaking site-local addresses
 - App-specific mechanisms required, but could be based on draft-stewart-tsvwg-sctpipv6-01.txt
 - Leaked addresses may result in lost connections, or reaching the wrong node
 - Robustness/security implications differ by application

Application Impact of Site-Local


- ★ For multi-party apps that exchange IP addresses
 - IP addresses may be handed from one node to another, to another, etc. at the application layer
 - Also need to avoid leaking site-local addresses
 - ★ Will require app-specific mechanisms, but it is entirely unclear how/where apps will get the information to detect and enforce site borders
 - Restrict these applications to global addresses?

Status of Site-Local in IPv6 WG

- ★ Scoped Addressing Architecture underway:
 - draft-ietf-ipngwg-scoping-arch-04.txt
- ★ WG consensus to limit site-local addressing within range of two major choices
 - ★ “Limited” usage -- only on disconnected networks
 - ★ “Moderate” usage -- no site-border nodes
- ★ Site-local impact documented in individual draft:
 - draft-wasserman-ipv6-sl-impact-02.txt

Apps Area Input Needed

- * Help define application categories with real-world examples
- * Provide input on the types of applications that exist and how they may be affected by addressing
- * Come to IPv6 on Thursday to discuss
 - 0900-1130 in Continental 1-4



Questions or Comments?