

# IESG Agenda

- 1000 Welcome, bash the agenda (Harald)
- 1010 IMPP, status and future play (Ted Hardie)
- 1045 Planning the work of the IETF (Harald)
  - Bio break
- 1145 Routing Protocol Extensions for different purposes (Alex)
- 1220 Lunch break
- 1250 The L\*VPN situation - status and followup (Alex)
- 1310 The Problem/reform/IETF change discussion (Bellovin)
- 1400 Wrapup, conclusions, action items

# IMPP

- WG Chairs have sent documents to IESG
- Charter not updated in 2000.
- Dave & Marshall carried out a war of attrition against the IMPP effort
- Three questions before the IESG:
  - Is the IMPP de facto charter valid?
  - Do the documents satisfy the goals of the de facto charter?
  - Is this the Right Thing for the Internet? (idea AND result)
- Are these specs going to be the basis for interworking between proprietary IM systems that today dominate the market? Dunno.
- Have we missed the market window? Maybe not....
- Lessons
  - If you get an agreement, WRITE IT DOWN.
  - The cycle of invention means that we WILL have conflicts
- Ted: "Trying to be open has cost me MANY hours"
  - And people aren't any happier because of it.
- READ the de facto charter before you read the documents!
- Randy will chair the discussion if it goes into plenary.

# Planning the work of the IETF

- Basic questions
  - Do we need a plan (or set of plans)?
  - If yes, who should write it?
  - If us, what should we do to do it?
- At top level, yardsticks may be more important than plan documents
- Who's the target audience?
- Goals are different from small plans are different from venue maintenance
- We don't do "someone ought to invent something" WGs.
- Our tradition is *STILL* bottom-up, external-energy-input.
- Harald will run some definitions of "plan" past the iESG.

# Routing Protocol Extensions

- BGP is where the rubber hits the road at the moment – IS-IS and OSPF is "scales with the size of the network"
- BGP patches are sold as "quick time-to-market"
- Different port numbers and "proposer must show safety" are advocated by both directorates (rtg, ops)
- Problem w/extensions has been in DNS, is in BGP, starting in on RADIUS.
- Accusations of cronyism may taint the discussion
- RFC 2547bis should run on a different port number. That's going to cause noise.
- The Routing Area Meeting on Thursday will discuss this.

# L\*VPN

- Poorly scoped charter
- L3VPN needs another year. Delaying L2 by that order of magnitude doesn't seem right.
- Serious WG chair trouble with Marco – fired.
- Split is approved. 1 PP chair to both WGs (Rick)
- Eric Rosen was in favour of the split. He's mad about the slowness of 2547bis.
- Factoid from Scott: AD was \*pushing\* 2547bis to get out. The WG chairs didn't. Why? We don't know.
- The impact of a new TCP port is not known. May be minimal (ignored) or minimal (easy).
- Different views of history – and misunderstandings. Talk, clear, needed!

# Problem/Reform

- List has become union of all complainants.
- Lack of understanding of what the IESG is, and why we're doing what we're doing.
- We've never said publicly what we think the problems are, and what we're doing about it.
- We should think of "3 times: publish statement"
- But we need to socialize our decision-making!
- IESG believes there are significant problems to be addressed – in the IETF. (IESG is part of the IETF)
- IESG believes these problems need to be addressed structurally by the community

# Conclusions

